SEP 2 8 1998

Southern California Water Committee, Inc.

800 North Haven, Suite 125, Ontario, California 91764 Phone 909 980-4700 • Fax 909 980-2628

BOARD OF TRUSTEES

CHAIRMAN ROBERT A. KRIEGER KRIEGER & STEWART

CONTY SUPERVISORS
MICHAEL ANTONOVICH
CONTY OF LOS ANGELES
HON. BILL COLE
COUNTY OF IMPERIAL
HON. KATHY DAVIS
COUNTY OF SAN BERNARDINO
HON. JOHN K. FLYNN
COUNTY OF VENTURA
HON. STEVE PEREZ
COUNTY OF KERN
HON. PAM SLATER
COUNTY OF SAN DIEGO
HON. TODD SPITZER
COUNTY OF ORANGE

HON. JIM VENABLE COUNTY OF RIVERSIDE

AGRICULTURE SECTOR
JAMES A. CRETTOL
CRETTOL FARMS
PAUL ECKE, JR.
PAUL ECKE, JR.
PAUL ECKE POINSETTIA RANCH
JOHN FRICKER
RIVERSIDE COUNTY FARM BUREAU
RUSSELL HANLIN, SR.
SUNKIST GROWERS, INC.
NIAZ MOHAMED, JR.
SALICO FARMS
ROBERT L. SEAT
SEATREE NURSERIES
GEOFFREY T. VANDEN HEUVEL
MILK PRODUCERS COUNCIL

CITY SECTOR
HON, PAUL ACKERMANN
CITY OF TAFT
JAMES H. BLAKE
CITY OF FULLERTON
BILL CARNAHAN
CITY OF RIVERSIDE
H. JOHN FERRARO
CI LOS ANGELES
HON. HARRY MATHIS
CITY OF SAN DIEGO
HON. BERNARDO PEREZ
CITY OF MOORPARK
HON. JAMES S. THALMAN
CITY OF CHINO HILLS

BUSINESS SECTOR
ROBERT W. BEIN
ROBERT BEIN, WILLIAM FROST & ASSOC.
LES CLARK
INDEPENDENT OIL PRODUCERS AGENCY
RICHARD K. JEMISON
UNOCAL CORPORATION
STACY A. ROSCOE
PROCTER & GAMBLE PAPER PRODUCTS
THOMAS TOPUZES
VALLEY INDEPENDENT BANK
STEPHEN A. ZAPOTICZNY
MONSANTO COMPANY

WATER SECTOR
WILLIAM R. CONDIT
IMPERIAL IRRIGATION DISTRICT
ANNE DUNIHUE
CHINO BASIN MUNICIPAL WATER DISTRICT
COL. JOHN V. FOLEY
MOULTON NIGUEL WATER DISTRICT
JOHN JOHNSON
CASITAS MUNICIPAL WATER DISTRICT
JOHN M. LEACH
SAN DIEGO COUNTY WATER AUTHORITY
JOHN MCFADDEN
COACHELLA VALLEY WATER DISTRICT
STUART PYLE
KERN COUNTY WATER AGENCY
JOHN R. WODRASKA
METROPOLITAN WATER DISTRICT, SC
HON. HARRIETT M. WIEDER

TIVE DIRECTOR

JOAN ANDERSON DYM

September 24, 1998

Mr. Lester Snow, Executive Director CALFED Bay Delta Program 1416 Ninth Street Sacramento, California 95814

Dear Lester:

This letter represents the comments of the Southern California Water Committee's Bay Delta Task Force, supported by the SCWC Executive Committee, on the CALFED Bay Delta Program draft Framework for Selecting a Draft Preferred Alternative.

We are alarmed about the current direction of CALFED and the shift of the Bay Delta Program from the original approach of selecting an Alternative based on a technically superior performance to a phased implementation approach which makes no commitment to a technically supportable solution.

CALFED's analysis indicates that a dual conveyance facility in the Delta has the greatest potential to satisfy the Bay Delta Program solution principles and program objectives and yet the proposed staged approach makes no commitment to assuring the benefits to Southern California that such a facility would provide.

The Southern California Water Committee, and its coalition of county and city elected officials, agricultural and business leaders, water agency representatives, and private citizens, has endorsed Alternative 3 because it provides export water quality, limited diversion effects on fisheries, Delta flow circulation, water supply opportunities, operational flexibility, and less risk to export water supplies. As we commented on May 28, 1998, the CALFED program's own analysis leads directly to Alternative 3.

The Southern California Water Committee is greatly concerned that CALFED's proposed staging approach defers and delays making the hard, but important decisions regarding the long term, best solution to the problems in the Bay Delta. Recommending second best or third best improvements to the ecosystem and fisheries is unacceptable in terms of CALFED's own solution principles. By refusing to proceed with the technically superior alternative, you are transferring a huge burden of regulatory risk to the California economy. We cannot wait for seven years for a decision on an isolated facility.

A cooperative effort of business, government, water agencies, agriculture, and public interests.

Mr. Lester Snow, Executive Director CALFED Bay Delta Program September 24, 1998 Page 2

CALFED's proposed Stage 1 actions do not address Southern California's needs for improved water quality or increased water reliability. We require safe drinking water supplies from the Bay Delta in order to ensure our region's public health. Southern California must have protection for existing Bay Delta water supplies and have the ability to capture and store water in wet years to use during dry years. Our \$500 billion economy deserves no less.

The Southern California Water Committee has looked to the CALFED Program as the best means of finally resolving the issues and achieving the long awaited objective of a Delta "fix". We strongly urge you to resume pursuit of a balanced and technically supportable solution. CALFED's objectives must move in parallel and all program elements must be implemented simultaneously. We believe that Southern California's needs can be met in conjunction with the needs of the rest of California. It will be a catastrophe if CALFED fails to do so.

teve a. Supoticyy

Chair, Bay Delta Advisory Task Force

Stephen A. Zapoticzny

Very truly yours,

Robert A. Krieger

Chairman

cc: Bay Delta Advisory Task Force SCWC Executive Committee

Southern California Water Committee, Inc.

Baydelt.tf\1998\staging